From: Sent: Maija Hahn <kmhahn1@mac.com> Thursday, October 4, 2018 8:56 AM

To:

Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Darrin Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50);

Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. 11m Sheller (District 30); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. Tom Barrett (District 71); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. Scott Dianda (District

110); Eddie Sleeper

Subject:

Vote No! On SB 637 & SB 894

Please VOTE NO on SN 637 & SB 894. Here is why:

• Vote 'no' on SB 637 and SB 894 because these bills strip away my rights to health, privacy, and property.

There's been no long-term testing with millimeter wave technology proving safety.

• The FCC safety standards that industry referred to when questioned about health effects during the first hearing, are antiquated and need updating, but the FCC dropped the ball on that while at the same time they are continuing to push 5G. For more information, see: Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) and Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR)

The National Toxicology Program's cell phone study peer review HERE shows "clear evidence of

carcinogenic activity."

• In 2011 the International Agency for Research on Cancer, part of the World Health Organization, classified radiofrequency as possibly carcinogenic. See that document <u>HERE</u>.

• Precautionary Principle: "When an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically."

Sincerely, a concerned wife and mother and Michigan resident,

Maija C Hahn, M.S., CCC-SLP 2091 Cory Dr, Hudsonville, MI 49426 909-289-3406 Kmhahn 1 @ mac.com

From:

Melissa Mangas <melissamangas@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, October 4, 2018 8:37 AM

Subject:

SB 637 and SB 894

I am a Michigan resident / voter / taxpayer / property owner, and I am asking Representative Tom Leonard to NOT call a floor vote on SB 637 and SB 894 EVER.

These bills strip me and all Michigan residents of our rights to health, privacy, and property.

There's been no long-term testing with millimeter wave technology proving safety.

I do not want small cell towers in public right of ways.

Thank you!

From:

Christian & Beth Ann Bechtel <abc\_bechtel@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Thursday, October 4, 2018 2:18 AM

To:

**Eddie Sleeper** 

Subject:

Oppose SB 637 & SB 894

Dear Michigan House Energy Policy Committee Member,

I oppose Michigan SB 637 and SB 894 (5G Bills) in order to protect Michigan citizens health, especially the most vulnerable, our children.

Thank you, Beth Bechtel 1165 Cliffdale Drive Haslett, MI 48840

From: kay cumbow < kcumbow@greatlakes.net >
Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 6:32 PM

To: Rep. Scott Dianda (District 110); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. Triston Cole

(District 105); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Darrin Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. Tom Barrett (District 71); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99);

Eddie Sleeper

Subject: Protect Michigan's citizens and oppose Michigan's two bills that would streamline SG

October 3, 2018,

To the Members of the House Energy Policy Committee,

I write to you as a Michigan citizen and former health care worker, a Certified Respiratory Technician, and alarmed at the speed that Michigan bills regarding the use of 5G to spread internet and other wi-fitechnology is taking on. I urge all of you to slow that speed down and take a good look at health studies on 5G, implicating it in serious human health problems.

Senate Bill 637 (SB 637), would allow telecom companies to install small cell/distributed antenna on top of new and existing utility poles every 500 ft in public right-of-ways. Impatient for the legislature to act, some of the big telecommunication companies are already going forward with construction of 5G infrastructure across Michigan (and other states as well.)

If current global plans are implemented, over 20,000 satellites will be used by at least 5 (maybe more) companies to blanket the Earth with powerful, focused, steerable beams. Not only humans, but all other life will be guinea pigs for this giant experiment. 5G technology is much more powerful than other wireless technology and is known to harm human health.

"5G will result in a massive increase in inescapable, involuntary exposure to wireless radiation."

- from the International Appeal to Stop 5G on Earth and in Space, posted here: <a href="https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal">https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal</a>

Both the U.S. Conference of Mayors and the National Association of Counties strongly oppose the planned implementation of 5G, as it basically preempts all local authority and any ability for local governments to protect the health and safety of their communities. See: Mayors, counties balk at FCC 5G streamlining proposal

This would also allow favoritism to a very few companies - who would have an unfair edge and a lot of power over our lives. It would also cut out local control and local revenue, as it would cap annual rent for use of public property, far below current market rates. 5G technology would also subject every person and all living things - including already stressed pollinators and birds, as well as pets

From:

Ric Huff <CityAdministrator@nilesmi.org>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 5:38 PM

To: Subject: Eddie Sleeper Small Cell

Mr. Sleeper,

The City of Niles is a member of the Grand Valley Metro Council. This Council has worked cooperatively with small cell companies to create a uniform and business friendly process for permitting small cell sites. I believe this Council's process should be a model for the State rather than the proposed SB 637.

Public right of ways are a very valuable resource for the community and their access and use should be carefully considered. Each municipality has its own needs for right of way access to maintain, expand, or improve local infrastructure such as water, sewer, and electricity. Many people believe their access to the web is the most important thing in their lives until their water, sewer, or electric fail to function. Also no one knows what technology may exist in the future that may also need access to the right of way. Co locating must be encouraged.

Thank you for your consideration,

Richard A. Huff
City Administrator
City of Niles
Niles, Michigan 49120
269-683-4700 x-3011
Monday-Thursday 7:30a-5:30p
Friday 7:30a-11:30a



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email and any of its attachments may contain proprietary City of Niles, Michigan information that is privileged, confidential, or subject to copyright belonging to the City of Niles, Michigan. This email is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of the email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or actions taken in relation to the contents of and attachments to this email is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email and permanently delete the original and any copy of this email and destroy any printout.

From: Sent: guy l monacelli <niceguy66@att.net> Wednesday, October 3, 2018 5:01 PM

To:

Eddie Sleeper

Subject:

Senate Bills SB 637 & SB894

Dear Committee Clerk,

SB 637 would clear the way for 5G rollout statewide and SB 894 would prohibit local "elected" governing bodies from exercising their rightful control in this matter.

Once informed of the risks, few if any would deny the certain horrendous impact that 5G rollout will have upon life as we know it. The range of wireless radiation that 5G will spew into our airwaves is up to and far in excess of that used for military weapons. And to think the telecoms have never tested 5G radiation for health effects. The extensive independent scientific studies that have been done on much lower frequencies than those proposed by 5G have shown this non-ionizing microwave radiation to cause serious de-vitalization of all lifeforms.

Furthermore, how can state law dictate to representatives of the people in local jurisdictions that they have no power to decide what's best for their communities in this instance. Does this not open the door to dictatorial control over society by corporate interests in other matters as well? This flies in the face of the fundamental principle of a free self-governing society. Can anyone cite the state's authority for doing this? I think not!

No one will ever again be safe from government/corporate dictatorial power if these bills are allowed to pass!

#### More 5G info here

So I, along with thousands of others and millions more, if they survive the onslaught, ask that if these horrendous bills come up for a vote on the House Floor, for the sake of our lives and freedoms and those of future generations vote NOT ON MY WATCH! I VOTE NO! NO! On SB 637 & 894

Please Committee clerk, please vote NO likewise. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Guy L.Monacelli

From:

Kosel, Ann <Ann.Kosel@beaumont.org>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 4:23 PM

To: Subject: Eddie Sleeper 5G Legislation

Dear Sir,

I am writing regarding bills SB 637 and SB 894. I am very concerned that these bills set a precedent for corporate control at the expense of individual/municipal and state rights.

I am further concerned about the health risks the technology behind 5G poses. There are scientific peer reviewed studies questioning the safety and demonstrating carcinogenic effects.

I believe these bills are being pushed through without the public being aware of the ramifications of this new technology.

Sincerely,

Ann Kosel 2518 Galpin Royal Oak, MI 48073

P# 248-435-233

### **Confidentiality Notice:**

This e-mail, including any attachments is the property of Beaumont Health and is intended for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). It may contain information that is privileged and confidential. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete this message, and reply to the sender regarding the error in a separate email.

Nothing in this message is intended to constitute an electronic signature unless a specific statement to the contrary is included in this message.

From:

kayla kopke <realfoodhomemade@gmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 4:04 PM

To:

Rep. Tom Leonard (District 93)

Subject:

**OPPOSE 5G!** 

Vote 'no' on SB 637 and SB 894 because these bills strip away my rights to health, privacy, and property.

There's been no long-term testing with millimeter wave technology proving safety.

The FCC safety standards that industry referred to when questioned about health effects during the first hearing, are antiquated and need updating, but the FCC dropped the ball on that while at the same time they are continuing to push 5G. For more information, see: Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) and Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR)

The National Toxicology Program's cell phone study peer review HERE shows "clear evidence of carcinogenic activity."

In 2011 the International Agency for Research on Cancer, part of the World Health Organization, classified radiofrequency as possibly carcinogenic. See that document <u>HERE</u>.

<u>Precautionary Principle</u>: "When an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically."

I AM SO SCARED of this radiation being all around us. How can they implement this without safety testing?

From: Lori Ebaugh <styd2006@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 3:56 PM

To: Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Tom Barrett (District

71); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Scott Dianda (District 110); Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Darrin Camilleri (District 23); Rep.

Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50);

Eddie Sleeper

Subject: 5G Technology Concerns and STOP SB 639 and SB 894

Chairman Glenn and Representatives of the Michigan House Energy Committee:

I am writing to you today to express my concerns about 5G technology and the bills sent to you from the Michigan Senate, SB 639 and SB 894. These are my concerns:

- -SB 639 and SB 894 strip away all individual, municipal and state rights and give the telecom companies the right to place 5G small cell towers every 3-10 homes in all our neighborhoods and 500 ft. in all public places My village of Milford, and every other Michigan village, will have NO choice or rights regarding these placements.
- -These bills strip away the rights of our local governments to negotiate fair fees for the use of our public rights of way and allows for the telecom companies to pay very minimal fees for their use. This results in loss of local revenues and additional financial responsibility for the taxpayers.
- -The bills set precedence for complete corporate control at the expense of individual/municipal/state rights. This established precedent will be legally justifiable and followed by other companies that also have interest in our public rights of ways.
- -We cannot afford to allow any corporations to have this level of power and control over our property, municipalities or state rights. Our rights (individual, municipal, state) must be completely preserved and remain intact in all legislation and in all deals with corporations. This needs to be a foundational standard for all legislation and corporate deal structures.
- -Telecom is a multi-trillion-dollar industry that is making huge profits off their products. 5G is a product and this is a business deal. Telecom companies are not providing a public service and no rights should be waived, lost or given away in the process of allowing for the infrastructure and function their 5G wireless products.
- -Industry has the capability to promote their products in ways that work with all stakeholders and preserves everyone's rights. This has been the business norm and requirement until now and companies have prospered under this model throughout history and can continue to do so without the vacation of personal, municipal or state rights.
- -These kinds of bills are being fast tracked all over the country intentionally before municipalities and residents can learn about their impacts. Most people do not even understand what 5G is. Nothing necessitates the fast tracking of legislation for a product. This is not a public health emergency. The pharmaceutical, automotive and other industries go through full processes before gaining approval for their products. Telecom companies should have to do this as well. They also must prove the safety of their products and assume responsibility for the safety of their products before they are released to the public.
- -Over 10,000 peer reviewed scientific studies over the course of 30 years show that the technologies and frequencies used in 5G and wireless technology are harmful to human health.

From:

Greg Madura < g.madura@alpinetwp.org>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 3:51 PM

To:

Eddie Sleeper

Cc:

senpmacgregor@senate.michigan.gov; Rep. Rob VerHeulen (District 74)

Subject:

SB 637

#### Mr. Sleeper,

On behalf of the Township of Alpine in Kent County, its Board of Trustees and citizens I wish to enter into the record for the SB 637 hearing on October 4, 2018 in Room 519 at the House Office Building, Lansing Michigan that there is now need for SB 637.

As a member of a 24 separate community consortium in West Michigan, with the objective of 1. being business friendly; 2. Having a regional consistency; 3. Being good stewards of the ROW; 4. Recognize the need for increased cellular capacity; 5. Recognize our individual community nuances. Our local communities have significant concern with SB 637 and highly encourage you not to advance this bill to the floor. In West Michigan and specifically Kent County we have a system in place that has proven successful.

Greg Madura
Alpine Township Supervisor
g.madura@alpinetwp.org
(616)784-1262
5255 Alpine Ave NW
Comstock Park MI 49321

From: David Bratton <karatedave1930@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 3:42 PM

To: Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Tom Barrett (District

71); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Scott Dianda (District 110); Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Darrin Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50);

**Eddie Sleeper** 

Subject: SB 637 and SB 894 Vote No

Dear House Energy Policy Committee,

I am writing to urge you to vote no on two bills, SB 637 and SB 894 regarding 5G small cells. These bills are very controversial and they are not ready for a vote.

The bills give complete access to our public rights of way and all say and power regarding telecom infrastructures in our neighborhoods and public places to the telecom companies, removing all local, individual and state control.

These bills violate the most basic and foundational premises, the preservation of state and local control, property rights and no entity compromising or taking away these rights.

These bills create the structure for a very large pivot away from those foundational values and will contribute to the undoing of them. Once we allow what these bills propose to come to fruition, it will become the way business is done. Other business will surely follow telecom's lead and any individual local control on the matters that affect them the most, will become a part of a distant history.

As a legislator you are tasked with the responsibility of being guard for things that damage our core structural values and to take meaningful action against them. This is not an easy task. And as a legislator, you cannot speak to one set of values and then legislate to another. I cannot support legislation or legislators that will allow this level of departure from those core values that matter most. I hope you will understand the significance of this issue and not allow these bills or any bills that compromise this level of individual local control to be passed now or at any time.

Most Sincerely,

Ann Bratton 823 Croydon Road Rochester Hills, Michigan 48309

From: Andy Krupp <sardinesanyone@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 3:37 PM

To: Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Tom Barrett (District

71); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Scott Dianda (District 110); Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Darrin Camilleri (District 23); Rep.

Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50);

**Eddie Sleeper** 

Subject: No To SB 637 and SB 894

Dear Energy Policy Committee,

I am requesting that you vote no on SB 637 and SB 894 5G small cells bills.

There are significant documented public health, safety, property rights and local control issues involved in these bills that cause great reason for concern.

First hundreds of medical and scientific professionals from around the world are stepping forth to warn legislators and the public of the dangers associated with the radio frequency radiation and the millimeter waves given off by this technology.

Dr. Anthony Miller:

https://www.voutube.com/watch?v=LPs6PAG1H6c

The technology has been found to be both cancer causing and DNA breaking. Most recently, in the NTP study, a \$25 million dollar study by the U.S government, which was released in March 2018. This study confirms the findings of 30 years of peer reviewed studies and research on the subject showing significant harm to humans. The frequencies that will be used in this infrastructure, which will be every 3- 10 homes in our neighborhoods and every 500 feet in our public rights of way, are the same as the frequencies used in the recent attacks on the Cuban Embassy.

https://www.nytimes.com/2018/09/01/science/sonic-attack-cuba-microwave.html

Next, the loss of rights and local control are unprecedented. This legislation has failed in more states that it has passed in, and in those that it has passed in, there have been significant amounts of lawsuits, over in 90 our neighboring Ohio. Recently the U.S Conference Of Mayors has stated that it will sue the FCC over similar legislation. <a href="https://www.smartcitiesdive.com/news/mayors-counties-balk-at-fcc-5g-streamlining-proposal/532246/">https://www.smartcitiesdive.com/news/mayors-counties-balk-at-fcc-5g-streamlining-proposal/532246/</a>

From:

Judith Wolkens <wolkens.judithm@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 1:54 PM

To:

Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98)

Cc:

Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99)

Subject:

SB 639 and SB894

## Ladies and Gentlemen

I am asking you to please use your head and vote NO on these bills that represent WEAPONS OF INTERNAL MASS DESTRUCTION TO THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF 'PURE MICHIGAN' WHOM YOU HAVE BEEN ELECTED TO REPRESENT AND ARE PAID TO DO. REMEMBER YOUR OATH TO THE CONSTITUTION AND FOLLOW WITH LOYALTY!

Thanking you in advance for your service to the people of the state of Michigan!

Judith Wolkens

Tax payer, voter, citizen, Concerned Grandparent Novi, MI

Sent from my iPad

From:

Julius Suchy <jsuchy@spartami.org>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 1:53 PM

To:

Eddie Sleeper

Subject:

SB 637 - Village of Sparta Comments

Mr. Sleeper,

I would like the Village of Sparta's position to be entered into the record for the SB 637 hearing tomorrow.

The Village of Sparta has worked with the Grand Valley Metro Council DAS/small cell wireless facilities consortium to adopt their model ordinance which will allow the Village to regulate DAS/small cell wireless facilities without it being a burden on the community or the wireless provider. The Village is not opposed to the advancement of technology and the need for these towers, however without regulation it has the ability to significantly alter the character of our community.

We believe our regulations are fair and were reached by the consortium after meetings with some of the wireless providers. I urge you to not advance this bill and allow a system and process that has worked in West Michigan and the Village of Sparta to continue instead of taking away our local control. Again, control not to be difficult, but to help maintain the community character while still allowing for DAS/Small Cell facilities.

Thank you,

Julius Suchy Village Manager Village of Sparta 156 E. Division St. Sparta, MI 49345

P: (616) 887-8251 F: (616) 887-1114 www.spartami.org

From: Sent: Melody Curlington <melcurl@yahoo.com> Wednesday, October 3, 2018 12:53 PM

To:

**Eddie Sleeper** 

Subject:

Testimony against 5G technology

### Dear Clerk Eddie Sleeper,

I would like to submit my testimony against 5G technology. Research has proven that frequencies used in 5G and wireless technology are harmful to human health. The NTP study (National Toxicology Program) released in March of this year shows that 5G technology is DNA breaking and causing cancer. This was also found in the Ramazinni Institute in their study as well. Globally, doctors, scientist and health organizations are working to educate people about the dangers of building infrastructure for these new technologies. This industry is so eager to be the first to set the path in the name of profit, they are not doing the proper work to be sure their technology is safe. We MUST require third party safety testing on any technology they want to implement in our communities. I am not at all opposed to faster internet or better cell coverage, but I am opposed to the risk to healthy human life. Please take my testimony in consideration and vote NO!

Sincerely, Melody Curlington Shelby Township

From:

Denise Jaglowski <br/> <br/> billjaglowski@gmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 12:02 PM

To: Subject: Eddie Sleeper SB- 637; SB 894

Greetings!

We wish to express our opposition to SB- 637, and SB- 894. These bills are very bad for the health and well being of Michigan Citizens. Please vote NO on our behalf, whenever the subject of 5-G Technology comes before the Michigan Legislator.

Thank you.

Please register 2 NO votes, as we are both registered voters. life-long residents of Michigan.

William & Denise Jaglowski

9970 Federal Road

Howard City, MI 49329

My name is Karen Strode. I am a registered pharmacist and I work for a university where I coordinate the financing of clinical cancer trials.

I represent a group of citizens who will \*not\* benefit from wireless 5G networks, and will instead experience severe and debilitating health effects from this technology.

I was diagnosed with electrical hypersensitivity in 2012. I have a letter from my doctor documenting my diagnosis. I experience head, neck and chest pain from WiFi, smart meters, cell phones and cordless phones.

My doctor recommended that I transform my home into a "safe haven," and it is for this reason that I was able to restore my health. We removed all wireless technology and have a hard-wired internet connection for computer and TV. Our smart meter has the radio function turned off. The nearest cell tower is a mile away.

These bills will bring powerful and untested infrastructure to every residential street, including mine. My physician mandates that my residence be free from this radiation, however the 5G installation at the street light in our front yard will make it impossible for me to live there.

These bills are being fast tracked in states all over the country intentionally before municipalities and residents can learn about them and their impacts. Nothing necessitates the fast tracking of legislation for 5G, which is a product. This is not a public health emergency. The pharmaceutical, automotive and other industries go through extensive processes to demonstrate safety of their products and assume responsibility for the safety of their products before they are released to the public. Why is this not true for the telecom industry?

\*\*I urge you to vote no on these 5G bills.\*\*

Much safer technologies such as lift which uses light frequencies are in development. Let's do the right thing, proceed with caution and protect the health of Michigan citizens.

Respectfully submitted,

Karen Strode RPh

Ypsilanti, Michigan

From:

Holly <hollyhiker@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 12:12 AM

To: Subject: Eddie Sleeper SB 637 & SB 894

Dear Mr. Sleeper,

I am writing in regards to SB 637 & SB 894 that will be the topic at the Thurs Oct 4 House Energy Policy Committee hearing. There are major concerns related to rights, local control and health and most reps are unfamiliar with 5G or the bills themselves. Members must review the information before voting in committee or sending the bills to the house floor. The only reason these bill are being fast tracked, is so governmental entities and citizens in the U.S. will be in the dark regarding them and the serious impacts of their passage.

## Where will the 5G towers go?

Wherever the telecom companies wish to place them, which can be every 3-10 homes in all our neighborhood public right of ways and 500 feet in public spaces.

#### How can we stop the installation of 5G towers?

Individuals, cities and states will have NO choice or rights about placement. No corporation should have this level of power over our individual, municipal or state rights, which have been the standard. It won't be lucrative for municipalities as there will be no negotiating fair usage fees, resulting in minimal payment from telecom companies and loss of local revenues with taxpayers having to foot the bill.

## How safe is 5G? (Ask Michigan Sen. Patrick Colbeck about his wife's experience)

Do you trust corporations who benefit from the sale of a product to assure you of its safety? The cover-up by telecom companies equates to that of the tobacco industry who touted for over 40 years that cigarettes were safe.

There is plenty of evidence they don't want you to know about that shows the harm to health. Doctors, science and health organizations worldwide are uniting to shed light on the subject.

- \*Over 10,000 peer reviewed scientific studies completed over a 30 year period show technologies and frequencies used in 5G and wireless technologies to be harmful to human health.
- \*Released in March 2018, the U.S. government's National Toxicology Program study showed the same wireless technologies used in 5G are conclusively DNA breaking and cancer causing, duplicated by the Ramazinni Institute studies soon after.
- \*We are the guinea pigs as telecom wireless products are marketed with no safety testing. There are much safer alternatives, but it is quick profits over people.

Please put the brakes on 5G and allow time for your colleagues to become familiar with the detrimental ramifications so they can make educated decisions. Passage of SB 637 & SB 894 is dangerous on all accounts and will also pave the way for other corporations to do the same in our public right of ways. Sincerely,

Holly Lubowicki 1607 Cromwell Avenue Flint, MI 4850

From: sandra blandino <rpm71441@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 4:32 PM

To: Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Tom Barrett (District

71); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); beaulavafe@house.mi.gov; Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Scott Dianda (District 110); Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Darrin Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50); Eddie Sleeper

**Subject:** 5G small cell tower transmitters

Attachments: Cell Tower Studies-Worldwide 2.docx

Dear Congressman/ Congresswoman,

I am a Doctor in Romeo Michigan, taxpayer, and voter. I am in opposition to local control of public right-of-ways being stripped from Michigan's local governments. I am in opposition to the FCC Order( Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment Order) that will be voted on this Wednesday. I do not want small cell towers in Michigan's public right-of-ways. I prefer faster, safer, more secure, more reliable, and less expensive in the long-run alternatives to wireless signals such as coaxial cables, telephone cables, and/or fiber optics to our homes, schools, businesses and libraries. I am also very concerned with the impact these towers would have on the health of Michigan residence! I am asking the (congressman/congresswoman) to please oppose the FCC Wireless Broadband Deployment Order.

THANK YOU for taking a moments to read this. This is a very serious matter. I have also attached Cell Tower studies.

Sincerely,

Dr.James Ziobron D.O. P.C

From: Michigan Safe Technology <michigansafetechnology@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:55 PM

To: Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Darrin

Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. Tom Barrett (District 71); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. Scott Dianda (District 108).

110); Eddie Sleeper

**Subject:** SB 637 & SB 894: Government agencies are not protecting our health

Dear Representatives of the House Energy Policy Committee,

The FCC "safety" standards for radiofrequency/microwave radiation were <u>set in</u> 1996 when few people owned a cell phone and before the advent of WiFi. Since that time, wireless technology has become much more popular. In addition, more research has been conducted, and many experts now agree that **the current FCC guidelines** are inadequate and <u>not protective of health</u>. A <u>resolution</u> by the American Academy of Environmental Medicine states:

"Existing safety limits for pulsed RF [radiofrequency] were termed 'not protective of public health' by the Radiofrequency Interagency Working Group (a federal inter agency working group including the FDA, FCC, OSHA, the EPA, and others)."

Already in 2002, in a letter to the EMR Policy Institute, Norbert Hankin from the EPA <u>wrote</u>:

"The FCC's exposure guideline is considered protective of effects arising from a thermal mechanism but not from all possible mechanisms. Therefore, the generalization by many that the guidelines protect human beings from harm by any or all mechanisms is not justified."

In 1996, after three years of intense lobbying and much pressure from the wireless industry, Congress passed, and the President signed into law, the <u>Telecommunications</u> <u>Act of 1996</u>. Hidden deep within this vast and complex Act, lies Section 704 which states:

No State or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Commission's [the Federal Communications Commission's] regulations concerning such emissions.

From: Kelly Keller <kellylkeller@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:13 PM

To: Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Darrin

Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. Tom Barrett (District 71); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. Scott Dianda (District

110); Eddie Sleeper

Subject: 5G Small Cell Towers. Vote No

Dear Energy Policy Committee,

Vote 'no' on SB 637 and SB 894 because these bills strip away my rights to health, privacy, and property.

- There's been no long-term testing with millimeter wave technology proving safety.
- • The FCC safety standards that industry referred to when questioned about health effects during the first hearing, are antiquated and need updating, but the FCC dropped the ball on that while at the same time they are continuing to push 5G. For more information, see: Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) and Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR)
- • The National Toxicology Program's cell phone study peer review <u>HERE</u> shows "clear evidence of carcinogenic activity."
- • In 2011 the International Agency for Research on Cancer, part of the World Health Organization, classified radiofrequency as possibly carcinogenic. See that document HERE.
- • <u>Precautionary Principle</u>: "When an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically."
- Thank You Kelly Keller

From: rcdumler@gmail.com

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:17 AM

To: Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52)

Cc: Eddie Sleeper

Subject: Vote NO on SB 637 and SB 894

Dear congressman,

Please vote NO on SB 637 and SB 894 referencing subject 5G streaming bills due to the following issues and concerns-

## **Rights and Local Control:**

- -The bills strip away all individual, municipal and state rights and give the telecom companies the right to place 5G small cell towers every 3-10 homes in all our neighborhoods and 500 ft. in all public places You, your city or state will not have any choice or any rights with regards to these placements.
- -The bills strip away the rights of our local governments to negotiate fair fees for the use of our public rights of way and allows for the telecom companies to pay very minimal fees for their use. This results in loss of local revenues and additional financial responsibility for the taxpayers.
- -The bills set precedence for complete corporate control at the expense of individual/municipal/state rights. This established precedent will be legally justifiable and followed by other companies such as cable companies, that also have interest in our public rights of ways.
- -We cannot afford to allow any corporations to have this level of power and control over our property, municipalities or state rights. Our rights (individual, municipal, state) have to be completely preserved and remain intact in all legislation and in all deals with corporations. This needs to be a foundational standard for all legislation and corporate deal structures.
- -Telecom is a multi trillion-dollar industry that is making trillions off of their products. 5G is a product and this is a business deal. Telecom companies are not providing a public service and no rights should be waived, lost or given away in the process of allowing for the infrastructure and function their 5G wireless products.
- -Industry has the capability to promote their products in ways that work with all stakeholders and preserves everyone's rights. This has been the business norm and requirement until now and companies have prospered under this model throughout history and can continue to do so without the vacation of personal, municipal or state rights.
- -These bills are being fast tracked in states all over the country intentionally before municipalities and residents can learn about them and their impacts. Nothing necessitates the

From:

Carol <xoxozina@aol.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:01 AM

To: Subject: Eddie Sleeper SB 637 & 894

Dear Energy Policy Committee Representative,

As you may already be aware, SB 637 & SB 894 are being discussed on Thursday, October 4<sup>th</sup> at the House Energy Policy Committee hearing. After personally meeting with my local elected official in Bruce Township and the previous meeting with the House Energy Policy Committee on this subject, it is <u>absolutely clear</u> that most elected officials <u>do not know the detail of these bills or understand the implications</u> especially in terms of rights, local control and public health. These bills ARE NOT READY FOR A VOTE and I am asking you to vote NO on these bills if they come to a vote on Thursday, October 4th.

To further your understanding, SB 637 would clear the way for 5G rollout statewide and SB 894 would prohibit local "elected" governing bodies from exercising their rightful control in this matter.

Once informed of the risks, few if any would deny the certain horrendous impact that 5G rollout will have upon life as we know it. The range of wireless radiation that 5G will spew into our airwaves is up to and far in excess of that used for military weapons. The telecom's have never tested 5G radiation for health effects.

The <u>extensive independent scientific studies</u> that have been done on <u>much lower frequencies</u> than those proposed by 5G have shown this non-ionizing microwave radiation to <u>cause serious devitalization of all life-forms</u>. The only safe way to do it, is with Fiber Optics or hard wired not the way they want to push it through

Furthermore, how is it possible that state law dictate to representatives of the people in local jurisdictions that they have no power to decide what's best for their communities in this instance? This could set a very dangerous precedent to dictatorial control over society by corporate interests in other matters as well. These bills invalidate the fundamental principle of a free self-governing society. How can state authority legally and constitutionally do this? No one will ever again be safe from government/corporate dictatorial power if these bills are allowed to pass.

As member of the House Energy Policy Committee, it is your duty to make an <u>informed decision</u> on legislation that impacts the citizens that have voted you into office. How much time and effort has been done to truly evaluate the evidence, scientific based-reports and findings that have been presented to you? If you are lacking resources to evaluate, there are several listed above and a few more below:

More 5G info here

Dr. Martin Pall To The National Institutes of Health 07/20/2018

How Big Wireless Made Us Think Cell Phones Are Safe-A Special Investigation
LiFi - The Wireless Solution Without Radio Frequencies

From: Sent: KIP HILBERT <kipdee@wowway.com> Tuesday, October 2, 2018 8:22 AM

Subject:

SB 637 and SB 894

10-2-2018

Dear House Energy and Technology Committee Members,

I am writing to let you know that I oppose bills SB 637and SB 894. These bills would strip all control by munici palities to regulate their right of ways and the taxpayers would subsidize 90% of the costs for this massive infras tructural proposal for the billion dollar tele-com industry.

I do not want all this crap all over my city, parks and in front of the home. My husband and I have worked our a sses off for many years for our beautiful home in Rochester Hills.

At the May 2018 Energy Tech Hearing, tele-coms would not agree to co-locating on 5G poles. So if three tele-com companies come to Rochester Hills, all three would have their own polls. This is asinine.

Many municipalities have become aware and have passed resolutions opposing 5 G. Please listen to them and n ot the billion dollar tele-com indutry that does not care one bit what they do to our communities.

Please do not let this bill go to the House Floor for a vote without listening to the experts that are being flown in and paid for by many Michigan residents that you represent. Rep. Glenn has indicated that most of the hearing will be dedicated to the tele-

coms. They testified in May for the majority of that hearing. You and the committee must allow for a fair hearing and listen to the people you represent.

Please do the right thing. Vote NO on SB 637 and SB 894 and listen to ALL the experts.

Thanks you.

Deanna Hilbert Republican Precinct Delegate Rochester Hills, Michigan

WOW! Homepage (http://www.wowway.com)

From:

Jeff Gabrielson <jeff@jenerxx.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 1, 2018 4:10 PM

To:

Eddie Sleeper

Subject:

5G - SB637 & SB894

Eddie.

Thank you for this opportunity to be in communication with you.

The House Energy Policy Committee will receive a great deal of information on 5G at the hearing this Thursday. They will need to take the time to look at and understand this information in order to make an informed decision on the issue.

This topic I believe is more important than the consideration being given and you deserve the time to learn more about issues so these bills are not ready for a vote.

As a small business owner, I understand the need to remain competitive and at the same time I'm faced with the consideration of the long terms affects for the decisions I make today.

As an independent voter one of my core beliefs is that local governments deserve the right for local control & the responsibility for well being of their citizens.

These bills do not include provisions for local control or the long term well being of our citizens and therefore I must urge you as my representative to vote no.

Regards,

Regards,

Jeff Gabrielson 201 Cloverport Ave. Rochester Hills, MI 48307 Cell 248-761-0277

From:

David Lonier <davidlonier@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 1, 2018 2:02 PM

To:

David Lonier

Subject:

More important than you can imagine... If put up for a vote, VOTE NO on SB 637 & SB

894

### Dear Michigan State Representative

SB 637 would clear the way for 5G rollout statewide and SB 894 would prohibit local "elected" governing bodies from exercising their rightful control in this matter.

Once informed of the risks, few if any would deny the certain horrendous impact that 5G rollout will have upon life as we know it. The range of wireless radiation that 5G will spew into our airwaves is up to and far in excess of that used for military weapons. And to think the telecoms have never tested 5G radiation for health effects. The extensive independent scientific studies that have been done on much lower frequencies than those proposed by 5G have shown this non-ionizing microwave radiation to cause serious de-vitalization of all lifeforms.

Furthermore, how can state law dictate to representatives of the people in local jurisdictions that they have no power to decide what's best for their communities in this instance. Does this not open the door to dictatorial control over society by corporate interests in other matters as well? This flies in the face of the fundamental principle of a free self-governing society. Can anyone cite the state's authority for doing this? I think not!

No one will ever again be safe from government/corporate dictatorial power if these bills are allowed to pass!

#### More 5G info here

So I, along with thousands of others and millions more, if they survive the onslaught, ask that if these horrendous bills come up for a vote on the House Floor, for the sake of our lives and freedoms those of future generations vote **NOT ON MY WATCH! VOTE NO! NO! NO!** on SB 637 & 894.

Respectfully submitted,

David Lonier
11th Congressional District Majority Committee
Legislative Review Subcommittee
Utility Meter Choice 4 Michigan
1842 Commonwealth
Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326
248-373-9111

www.mysmartmeterdoeswhat.com

From:

Ilene Grand <ilenegrand@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Monday, October 1, 2018 12:24 PM

To:

Eddie Sleeper

**Subject:** 

Please vote no on 5G

PLEASE VOTE NO ON 5G Small cell Legislation SB-637 SB-894

Thank you for your consideration llene Grand Michigan resident

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From: JLC <sewingmumandmore@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 1, 2018 9:33 AM

To: Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Darrin

Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. James Lower

(District 70); Rep. Tom Barrett (District 71); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72)

Cc: Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98); Rep. Roger Hauck (District

99); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. Scott Dianda

(District 110); Eddie Sleeper

Subject: Jaime Chimner

To the Energy Committee members:

Why are you being so selfish and not supporting HB 4220. If you support it then thank you but those of you who are old enough to remember "I Love Lucy", you've got some splaining to do Lucy."

It is so wrong to force something that causes cancer as a condition to having electricity. Peer reviewed science, the Gold Standard of Independent studies in the US and in Europe, has been proven! How can you stand by and watch people be radiated and cause cancer and not giving us a choice, at least in the electric meter choice.

These Peer Reviewed studies have proven over and over again that smart and digital meters cause cancer. You are going to force people4 to be radiated in their homes and not give them a choice of meter to be safer in their homes.

5G emits microwave radiation just as all wireless devices do. 5G antennas broadcast a very short distance. Whis is why the industry wants to put them on utility light poles throughout cities, large and small, and each telecom can put this equipment on your city street poles and light poles. Possibly in front of your home and could be by one of your bedrooms, or your children's bedrooms. You can't say anything about it. That is why they need multiple poles because the signal doesn't travel far. The frequency they emit are in the same range as what the U.S. Military uses for crowd control. It is called an Act of Denial System- if you don't believe me, look it up.

Expenditially we will all be radiated and doctors around the world are saying there will be a nationwide and worldwide cancer epidemic we have never seen before. There is going to be major radiation sickness that people won't understand. Then people will ask or find you and ask, why? Why did you do this to us, you are responsible in the end to everyone in this State as a sitting member of the Energy Committee.

That is the basic difference between Meter Choice and 5G. The radiation is the same, 5G is much stronger.

From:

Sandy Severns Tuggle <moosesand@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, September 17, 2018 2:44 PM

To: Subject: Sandy Severns Tuggle MI Senate Bills 637 & 894

Hello,

I am a resident, taxpayer, and registered voter in Michigan and I do not want wireless equipment and structures in public rights-of-way. Residents should have a choice when it comes to constant exposure to radiation.

Please oppose

MI Senate Bills 637 & 894

Please do NOT support the STREAMLINE Act (S.3157) or ANY legislation or FCC action that uses taxpayer money to advance wireless networks.

Sec. 51. of the Michigan State Constitution states:

The public health and general welfare of the people of the state are hereby declared to be matters of primary public concern. The legislature shall pass suitable laws for the protection and promotion of the public health.

Please protect the health and welfare of Michigan residents and oppose these bills.

Thank you, Sandy Tuggle Spring Lake, MI

From: Georgetta Livingstone <GLivingstone@oh.hra.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, September 5, 2018 12:32 PM **To:** Eddie Sleeper; Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98)

Subject: SB 637 Communications; telecommunications; permits, fees, right-of-way use, and

other regulation of wireless service providers; standardize.

To House Energy Policy Committee,

I am a resident of Oakland County and I would like to thank Chairman Gary Glen for the good efforts in protecting MI citizen.

I am writing to voice my opposition to the installation of small cell towers in public right of ways because they are a potential hazard to human health and the environment. Please see <u>THIS</u> call for a moratorium on 5G small cell tower infrastructure, signed by 180 scientists and doctors.

Myself, I got very sick from the EMF emission from DTE smart meter. DTE refused to return the functional analog meter and instead they cut off my power in July 2014, without restoring it to the present time, and this drama did not end. I was diagnosed with EHS and cannot have a smart meter. To survive, I had to install sacrificially a solar system. DTE incited the HOA that filed lawsuit against my alternative power system; I was threatened with prison for daring to heat the house when the outside temperature dropprd to 17 deg F, -2 deg F, -9 deg F; still in litigation for the past 3 yrs ... I am not allowed to live safely in my home.

Current radiofrequency radiation (RFR) "safety guidelines" are obsolete and do not protect human health. Small cell towers will not replace larger cell towers, but will be installed in addition to the larger cell towers, adding more RFR to public exposure levels.

The recent \$25 million National Toxicology Program study on cellphone radiofrequency radiation showed "clear evidence of carcinogenic activity" in rats exposed to RFR. Small cell towers in public right of ways will force higher exposure levels of RFR onto the public without consent. See the Actions from NTP Peer Review HERE.

And <u>HERE</u> is a PDF of over 60 pages of letters in opposition to 5G small cell tower infrastructure, written by scientists and organizations such as The Sierra Club, the AARP, and the Environmental Working Group.

This is a public health experiment I do not consent to, and ask that you halt installations of small cell towers in Oakland County immediately. Please consider provisions for citizens with EHS, and measures to hold accountable these corporations for the damage inflicted on the people.

Thank you,

Georgetta Livingstone, Ph.D 9984 Maple Valley Dr. Clarkston, MI 48348

Confidentiality Notice: This transmission (including any attachments) may contain confidential information belonging to the sender and is intended only for the use of the party or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, retention or the